SUMMARY

Start date: 1st May, 2019
End date: 30th April, 2022
OJEU Number: 2019/S 063-147245
Lead Consortium: SUPC
Main contact: Gavin Phillips
g.j.phillips@reading.ac.uk
Website: https://www.hecontracts.co.uk/agreements/710

- Transparent pricing and a pricing structure with competitive handling charges / discounts fixed for the duration of the agreement, with flexible options built in to adapt to the significant market changes expected in this industry over the coming years. The flexibility built in will allow the sector to manage potential risks both for Members and the supply market;
- Standard favourable Call-Off terms and conditions applicable to all Members, with document templates available for easy contract execution;
- Robust Joint Consortia Agreement Management structure which ensures support and escalation points for all member institutions.

SCOPE

This Agreement is for the supply of electronic and printed serials, journals and associated subscription services via subscription agents (intermediaries), including domestic and overseas materials from any publisher or 3rd party willing to trade via the Suppliers on this Agreement.

AGREEMENT BENEFITS

There are several benefits to using this framework:

- Robust tendering and evaluation process which has tested the Supplier capabilities to meet an agreed minimum set of requirements on behalf of participating consortia;
- Transparent pricing and a pricing structure with competitive handling charges / discounts fixed for the duration of the agreement, with flexible options built in to adapt to the significant market changes expected in this industry over the coming years. The flexibility built in will allow the sector to manage potential risks both for Members and the supply market;
- Standard favourable Call-Off terms and conditions applicable to all Members, with document templates available for easy contract execution;
- Agreed minimum Key Performance Indicators with all Suppliers, which can be adopted by each individual member institution, or can be adapted as necessary for specific requirements;
- Robust Joint Consortia Agreement Management structure which ensures support and escalation points for all Member institutions.

USING THE FRAMEWORK
Option One – Direct award
If selecting this route, Institutions may issue a direct award to any supplier on the Framework providing:

- The Member institution is satisfied with specification, contractual terms and rates set down in the Framework Agreement and no refinement is necessary;
- The Member institution carries out appropriate due diligence to determine the most economically advantageous supplier to meet the Member’s requirements;
- Any due diligence carried out must be recorded and requires objective justification of the decision.

*Note, this agreement is not ranked and therefore there is no requirement for a Member institution to direct award to the highest scoring supplier on the Framework.

The award of a contract will take place after the institution has discussed its specific requirements with the selected supplier and agreement has been reached as to timescales, methodology/approach, specific service requirements and key milestones and performance indicators to be met. The Standard Terms and Conditions of any call-off contract shall be as stated in the framework agreement and call-off.

Option Two - Desktop exercise
If institutions are comfortable with the terms of the proposed contract, and these do not require amendment or supplementary conditions then member institutions may use a desktop calculator to determine the most appropriate Supplier. The desktop calculator tool is available on HE Contracts Database, under the Documents tab, and includes full instructions.

If this option is chosen, Member institutions will use the tool by inputting pricing relevant to the specific requirements of the institution and if required, amending the criterion weightings by up to 15 percentage points to reflect local priorities. The tool will automatically calculate revised scoring and identify the most economically advantageous Supplier. Once complete, you should place your contract with the highest scoring supplier.

Option Three – Further competition
Institutions wishing to undertake a further competition may do so. A further competition re-opens competition amongst the Suppliers on the Framework and all the supplier(s) must be invited to submit responses to the institution’s further competition document. The original framework agreement evaluation criteria should be applied in the further-competition tender document, though the criteria weightings may be adjusted by up to 15 percentage points in line with local institution requirements. As this is a Most Economically Advantageous Tender (MEAT) framework agreement, both technical and commercial evaluation criteria must be used in a further-competition tender process.

The evaluation criteria that should be used for evaluating further competitions, along with the original weightings used in the Framework are set out on page 11 of the buyer’s guide.

Appointing multiple Suppliers
Please note multiple suppliers can be appointed, although care must be taken not to create a ‘framework within a framework’. There must be a clear mechanism in place to determine which supplier will receive an order. For example: all orders without consolidation service requirements will go to Supplier 1, all orders that require a Consolidation Service will go to Supplier 1.

SUPPLIERS

EBSCO Subscription Services, LM Prenax, Otto Harrassowitz GmbH & Co. KG, Prenax Ltd,

SUSTAINABILITY

NEXT STEPS

To start taking advantage of this agreement right away, please visit the Serials, Periodicals and Associated Services Joint Consortia Agreement page on HE Contracts Database at www.hecontracts.co.uk. From there, you can view the contracts, suppliers, supplier contact details, terms and conditions and a complete buyer’s guide.

If you need assistance, please contact the person with responsibility for procurement at your institution, or SUPC Category Manager Carli Thatcher at c.thatcher@reading.ac.uk.